1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 7 LAKHAN JHA and MINAKSHI KUMARI, CASE NO. 2:23-cv-00584 8 Plaintiffs, ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' 9 MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE OVER-LENGTH BRIEF v. 10 CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE 11 COMPANY, Defendant. 12 13 14 This matter is before the Court on Plaintiffs' motion for leave to file an over-length brief 15 in opposition to Defendant's motion for summary judgment. Dkt. No. 62. Plaintiffs seek leave 16 under LCR 7(f) to file a brief that is 1,037 words over the 8,400-word limit. 17 Although "[m]otions seeking approval to file an over-length motion or brief are 18 disfavored," the Court previously indicated that it would entertain such a motion from Plaintiffs 19 in light of its prior ruling striking Defendant's pending summary judgment motions. LCR 7(f); 20 Dkt. No. 58 at 3-4. Plaintiffs requested leave to submit an over-length brief to add an additional 21 section titled "Procedural Background" that explains their viewpoint on the "procedural 22 shortcomings of Chicago Title's approach on summary judgment." Dkt. No. 62 at 2. The Court 23 finds that there is good cause to permit Plaintiffs to file an over-length brief. 24

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE OVER-LENGTH BRIEF - 1

For the reasons stated above, the Court GRANTS Plaintiffs' motion for leave to file an over-length brief. Dkt. No. 62. Dated this 6th day of September, 2023. famil 10 Jamal N. Whitehead United States District Judge